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A method is proposed for determining the conjugate mechanical and electrical fields in a piezoelectric medium weakened by tunnel cuts which are, generally speaking, curvilinear. The boundary value problem is reduced to the systems of singular integral equations and linear algebraic relations, connecting the functions sought. The approach developed here is allied to that used in [1]. Problems concerning the rectilinear tunnel cracks at the boundary with a conductor were studied in $[2,3]$.

1. Formulation of the prob:lem. We use the crystallorgraphic $x y z$ coordinate system to consider an unbounded piezoelectric medium (hexagonal 6 mm crystal [4], or polarised ceramics [5]) weakened by tunnel cuts $L_{j}(j=1,2$, ...,r) along the $y$-axis.

For the case of plane deformation of such a medium in the xoz-plane, the system of solution equations in terms of the stress function $\varphi_{1}$ and electric field potential
$\varphi_{2}$ has the form (below we assume that $x=x_{1}$ and $z=x_{3}$ )

$$
\begin{align*}
& l_{11} \varphi_{1}+l_{12} \varphi_{2}=0, \quad l_{12} \varphi_{1}+l_{22} \varphi_{2}=0  \tag{1.1}\\
& l_{11}=a_{10} \partial_{1}^{4}+a_{12} \partial_{1}^{2} \partial_{3}^{2}+a_{14} \partial_{3}^{4}, \quad \partial_{1}=\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}} \\
& l_{12}=l_{21}=a_{21} \partial_{1}^{2} \partial_{3}+a_{23} \partial_{3}^{3}, \quad \partial_{3}=\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{3}} \\
& l_{22}=a_{20} \partial_{1}{ }^{2}+a_{22} \partial_{3}^{2}, \quad a_{10}=s_{33}-s_{13}{ }^{2} s_{11}{ }^{-1} \\
& a_{12}=s_{44}+2 s_{13}\left(1-s_{12} s_{11}{ }^{-1}\right), \quad a_{14}=s_{11}-s_{12}{ }^{2} s_{11}{ }^{-1} \\
& a_{21}=s_{13} d_{13} s_{11}^{-1}-d_{33}+d_{15}, \quad a_{23}=d_{13}\left(s_{12} s_{11}{ }^{-1}-1\right) \\
& a_{20}=\varepsilon_{11}, \quad a_{22}=\varepsilon_{33}-d_{13}{ }^{2} s_{11}{ }^{-1}
\end{align*}
$$

Here $s_{i k}=s_{i k} E, d_{i k}, \varepsilon_{i k}=\varepsilon_{i k}^{T}$ are, respectively, the elastic compliance, piezoelectric moduli and the dielectric constants appearing in the equation of state of the medium [5]. The functions $\varphi_{1}$ and $\varphi_{2}$ are related to the components of the mechanical stress tensor and electric field intensity $E$ by the formulas

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sigma_{x}=\partial_{3}^{2} \varphi_{1}, \quad \sigma_{z}=\partial_{1}^{2} \varphi_{1}, \quad \tau_{x z}=-\partial_{1} \partial_{3} \varphi_{1}  \tag{1.2}\\
& E_{x}=-\partial_{1} \varphi_{2}, \quad E_{z}=-\partial_{3} \varphi_{2}
\end{align*}
$$

Let the forces $X_{n} \pm$ and $Z_{n} \pm$ and the potential $\varphi_{2}{ }^{+}=\varphi_{2}{ }^{-}=\varphi_{2}$ be given

at the edges $L_{j}$, and let a homogeneous field of mechanical streses $\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{3}$ and $\tau_{13}$ (see Fig. 1) exist at infinity. We shall assume that $L_{j}$ are simple, smooth nonintersecting Liapunov curves [6].

Under these conditions, conjugated sirgular fields of mechanical stresses and of electric field intensity vector will appear in the medium. Our problem consist of describing these fieids.

The general solution of the system(1.1) has the form

Fig. 1

$$
\begin{align*}
& \varphi_{I}=2 \operatorname{Re} \sum_{k=1}^{3} \gamma_{k} \int \Phi_{k}\left(z_{k}\right) d z_{k}, \quad \varphi_{2}=-2 \operatorname{Re} \sum_{k=1}^{3} \lambda_{k} \Phi_{k}\left(z_{k}\right)  \tag{1.3}\\
& \gamma_{k}=a_{20}+a_{22} \mu_{k}{ }^{2}, \quad \lambda_{k}=a_{21} \mu_{k}+a_{23} \mu_{k}{ }^{3} \\
& z_{k}=x_{1}+\mu_{k} x_{3}, \quad \mu_{3+k}=\bar{\mu}_{k} \quad(k=1,2,3) \\
& \left(\left(a_{10}+a_{12} \mu^{2}+a_{1 \Delta} \mu^{4}\right)\left(a_{20}+a_{2 \Omega} \mu^{2}\right)-\mu^{2}\left(a_{21}+a_{28} \mu^{2}\right)^{2}=0\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Here $\Phi_{k}\left(z_{k}\right)$ are analytic functions of their variables, and the characteristic values $\mu_{k}$ represent the roots of the algebraic equation constained within the brackets. The condition of positive deffiniteness of the energy functional of the system implies, that

Im $\mu_{k} \neq 0 \quad(k=1,2,3)$. We ascume in addition that all roots of the equation in question are simple. For example, for the CdSe crystal and the ceramic PZT-5, for which the numerical values of the constants $s_{i k}, d_{i k}$ and $\varepsilon_{i k}$ are given in [5], the computations yield, respectively.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mu_{1}=0.567 i, \quad \mu_{2}=0.864 i, \quad \mu_{3}=1.825 i, \quad \mu_{1}=1.06 i \\
& \mu_{2}=-0.258+1.084 i, \quad \mu_{3}=0.258+1.084 i
\end{aligned}
$$

Using (1.2), the equations of state [5] and the representations (1.3), we find the following expresions for the stresses, displacements $u$ and $w$, and the electric field intensity in the medium

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sigma_{x}=2 \operatorname{Re} \sum_{k=1}^{3} \gamma_{k} \mu_{k}^{2} \Phi_{k}^{\prime}\left(z_{k}\right), \quad \sigma_{z}=2 \operatorname{Re} \sum_{k=1}^{3} \gamma_{k} \Phi_{k}^{\prime}\left(z_{k}\right)  \tag{1.4}\\
& \tau_{x z}=-2 \operatorname{Re} \sum_{k=1}^{3} \gamma_{k} \mu_{k} \Phi_{k}^{\prime}\left(z_{k}\right), \quad u=2 \operatorname{Re} \sum_{k=1}^{3} p_{k} \Phi_{k}\left(z_{k}\right) \\
& w=2 \operatorname{Re} \sum_{k=1}^{3} q_{k} \Phi_{k}\left(z_{k}\right), \quad E_{x}=2 \operatorname{Re} \sum_{k=1}^{3} \lambda_{k} \Phi_{k}^{\prime}\left(z_{k}\right) \\
& E_{z}=2 \operatorname{Re} \sum_{k=1}^{3} \lambda_{k} \mu_{k} \Phi_{k}^{\prime}\left(z_{k}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p_{k}=a_{14} \gamma_{h} \mu_{k}^{2}+1 / 2\left(a_{12}-s_{44}\right) \gamma_{k}-a_{23}-\lambda_{k} \mu_{k} \\
& q_{k}=1 / 3\left(a_{12}-s_{44}\right) \gamma_{k} \mu_{k}+a_{10} \gamma_{k} \mu_{k}^{-1}-\left(a_{21}-d_{15}\right) \lambda_{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

Taking into account (1.4), we can write the boundary conditions at the contours $L_{j}$ in the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& 2 \operatorname{Re}\left\{\sum_{k=1}^{3} \alpha_{n k} \Phi_{k}^{\prime}\left(t_{k}\right)\right\}^{ \pm}=W_{v^{\prime}} \pm(t) \quad(n=1,2,3)  \tag{1.5}\\
& t_{k}=\operatorname{Re} t+\mu_{k} \operatorname{Im} t, \quad t \in L, \quad L=\bigcup_{j=1}^{r} L_{j} \\
& \alpha_{1 k}=\gamma_{k} \mu_{k} a_{k}(\psi), \quad \alpha_{2 k}=\gamma_{k} a_{k}(\psi), \quad \alpha_{3 k}=\lambda_{k} a_{k}(\psi) \\
& W_{1} \pm=\mp X_{n} \pm, \quad W_{2} \pm=\mp Z_{n} \pm, \quad W_{3} \pm=\frac{d \varphi_{2}}{d s} \\
& a_{k}(\psi)=\mu_{k} \cos \psi-\sin \psi
\end{align*}
$$

Here $\psi$ is the angle between the normal to the left edge of $L_{j}$ (when moving from the initial point $a_{i}$ to the end $b_{j}$ ) and the $O x_{1}$-axis. The first two equations of (1.5) correspond to the mechanical, and the third one $(n=3)$ to the electrical boundary conditions at the edges of the cut.

To close the system of equations, we must supplement (1.5) with the conditions of uniqueness of the displacements and the electric field potential $\varphi_{2}$.

In this manner we reduce the problem to that of determining the analytic functions $\Phi_{k}^{\prime}\left(z_{k}\right)$ in accordance with the boundary conditions (1.5) and some additional conditions which shall be given below.
2. Reduction of the boundary value problem (1.5) to a system of integral and algebraicequations. Let us write the functions $\Phi_{k}{ }^{\prime}\left(z_{k}\right)$ in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{k}^{\prime}\left(z_{k}\right)=A_{k}+\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{L} \frac{\omega_{k}(t)}{t_{k}-z_{k}} d t_{k} \quad(k=1,2,3) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the constants $A_{k}$ satisfy the conditions at infinity

$$
\begin{align*}
& 2 \operatorname{Re} \sum_{k=1}^{3} \gamma_{k} \mu_{k}{ }^{2} A_{k}=\sigma_{1}, \quad 2 \operatorname{Re} \sum_{k=1}^{3} \gamma_{k} A_{k}=\sigma_{3}  \tag{2.}\\
& 2 \operatorname{Re} \sum_{k=1}^{3} \gamma_{k} \mu_{k} A_{k}=-\tau_{13}, \quad \operatorname{Re} \sum_{k=1}^{3} \lambda_{k} A_{k}=0 \\
& \operatorname{Re} \sum_{k=1}^{3} \lambda_{k} \mu_{k} A_{k}=0
\end{align*}
$$

Passing in (2.1) to the limit and substituting the limiting values into (1.5) we arrive, after manipulations, at the relations

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \operatorname{Re} \sum_{k=1}^{3} \alpha_{n k} \omega_{k}(t)=W_{n}^{1}(t), \quad W_{n}^{1}(t)=W_{n}{ }^{+}-W_{n}^{-}, \quad t \in L \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& 2 \operatorname{Re} \sum_{k=1}^{3} \alpha_{n k}^{\circ}\left[2 A_{k}+\frac{1}{\pi i} \int_{L} \frac{\omega_{k}(t)}{t_{k}-t_{k 0}} d t_{k}\right]=W_{n}^{2}\left(t_{0}\right)  \tag{2,4}\\
& W_{n}^{2}(t)=W_{n}^{+}(t)+W_{n}^{\sim}(t), \quad t_{k 0}=\operatorname{Re} t_{0}+\mu_{k} \operatorname{Im} t_{0} \\
& t_{0} \in L \quad(n=1,2,3) \quad\left(\alpha_{n k}^{\circ}=\alpha_{n k}\left(t_{0}\right)\right)
\end{align*}
$$

The relations (2.4) represent a system of three real integral equations in three functions $\omega_{k}(t)$ which are, in general, complex. The relations (2.4) must be supplemented by three linear algebraic coupling equations (2.3). Taking (2.3) into account, we can write (2.4) in the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{k=1}^{3} \int_{L}\left\{g_{n k}\left(t, t_{0}\right) \omega_{k}(t)+G_{n k}\left(t, t_{0}\right) \overline{\left.\omega_{k}(t)\right\}} d t=\frac{\pi i}{2} N_{n}\left(t_{0}\right)\right. \\
& g_{n k}\left(t, t_{0}\right)=\frac{a_{n k}}{t-t_{0}}+\frac{1}{2}\left[\frac{a_{n k}^{*}}{t_{k}-t_{k 0}} \frac{d t_{k}}{d t}-\frac{a_{n k}}{t-t_{0}}\right] \quad(n, k=1,2,3) \\
& G_{n k}\left(t, t_{0}\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left[\frac{\overline{a_{n k}}}{t-t_{0}}-\frac{\overline{a_{n k}^{*}}}{t_{k}-t_{k 0}} \frac{d E_{k}}{d t}\right] \\
& N_{n}\left(t_{0}\right)=\frac{1}{\pi i} \int_{L} \frac{W_{n}^{1} d t}{t-t_{0}}+W_{n}^{2}+M_{n}, \quad t_{0} \in L \\
& M_{1}=-2\left(\sigma_{1} \cos \psi_{0}+\tau_{13} \sin \psi_{0}\right), \quad M_{3}=0 \\
& M_{2}=2\left(\tau_{13} \cos \psi_{0}+\sigma_{3} \sin \psi_{0}\right), \quad \psi_{0}=\psi\left(t_{0}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

By virtue of the previous astumption $\alpha_{\text {nic }}$ are functions of class $H_{0}$ on $L$ [6], the kernels $G_{n k}$ cannot have more than a weak singularity, and the kernels $g_{n k}$ consist of a singular term (Cauchy kernel) and a term with not more than a weak singularity.

The equations (2.3) and (2.5) must be supplemented by the conditions of zero flux of the electric induction vector through any closed contour embracing $L_{j}$, and by the condition of uniqueness of the displacements $u$ and $w$. Taking into account the formulas ( 1.3 ), ( 1.4 ) and (2.1), we can write these conditions in the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& 2 \operatorname{Re} \sum_{k=1}^{3} p_{n k} \int_{L_{j}} \omega_{k}(t) d t_{k}=0 \quad(n=1,2,3 ; j=1,2, \ldots, r)  \tag{2.6}\\
& p_{i k}=p_{k}, \quad p_{2 k}=q_{k}, \quad p_{3 k}=\lambda_{k} a_{20} / \mu_{k}-\gamma_{k} d_{1 S}
\end{align*}
$$

The equations (2.3), (2.5) and (2.6) determine uniquely the unknown functions $\omega_{k}(t)(k=1,2,3)$.
3. Asymptotic formulas for the componentsof the electric and mechanical fields. Let us introduce the following parametrization of the contour $L_{j}$ (below we shall omit the subscript $j$ ) by means of the formulas

$$
\begin{equation*}
t=t(\beta), t_{k}=t_{k}(\beta), a=t(-1), b=t(1),(-1 \leqslant \beta \leqslant 1) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We put, in accordance with (3.1) $\left(\Omega_{k}{ }^{\circ}(\beta)\right.$ is a function of class $H_{0}$ on $L$ )

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{k}(t)=\frac{\omega_{k}{ }^{\circ}(t)}{\sqrt{(t-a)(t-b)}}=\frac{\Omega_{h}^{\circ}(\beta)}{\sqrt{1-\beta^{2}}} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (1.4), (2.1), (3.2) and the asymptotic formulas for the Cauchy -type integral near the end of the line of integration [6], we obtain the following asymptotic formulas for the components of the mechanical stress tensor and electric field intensity vector:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sigma_{z}=S(\gamma, 0), \quad \sigma_{x}=S(\gamma, 2), \quad \tau_{x z}=-S(\gamma, 1) \\
& E_{x}=S(\lambda, 0), \quad E_{z}=S(\lambda, 1) \\
& S(\alpha, \beta)=\operatorname{Re} \sum_{k=1}^{3} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} a_{k} \mu_{k}^{\beta} \Omega_{k}^{\circ}( \pm 1)\left[\mp t_{k}^{\prime}( \pm 1)\right]^{1 / 2}\left(z_{k}-c_{k}\right)^{-1 / 2} \\
& t_{k}^{\prime}( \pm 1)=\left.\frac{t_{k}}{d \beta}\right|_{\beta= \pm 1}
\end{aligned}
$$

where the upper sign refers to the end of the crack $c=b$, and the lower sign to its beginning $c=a$.
4. Rectilinear crackin a piezoelastic material. Let the material have a single crack occupying the segment $[-l ; l]$ of the $O x$-axis. In this case the system (2.5) together with the system (2.3), has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=1}^{3} \frac{2 \alpha_{n k}}{\pi i} \int_{L} \frac{\omega_{k}(t)}{t-t_{0}} d t=N_{n}\left(t_{0}\right) \quad(n=1,2,3) \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Introducing the parametrization $t=\beta l, t_{0}=\beta_{0} l$ and taking into account(3.2), we arrive at three independent equations

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{\pi i} \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{Q_{n}^{\circ}(\beta)}{\sqrt{1-\beta^{2}}} \frac{d \beta}{\beta-\beta_{0}}=N_{n}^{*}\left(\beta_{0}\right) \quad(n=1,2,3)  \tag{4.2}\\
& Q_{n}^{\circ}(\beta)=\sum_{k=1}^{s} 2 \alpha_{n k} \Omega_{k}^{\circ}(\beta), \quad N_{n}^{*}(\beta)=N_{n}(t)
\end{align*}
$$

Solving the equations (4.2) we obtain, in the class $h_{0}$ [6]

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{n}^{\circ}\left(\beta_{0}\right)=\frac{1}{\pi i} \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{N_{n}^{*}(\beta) \sqrt{1-\beta^{2}}}{\beta-\beta_{0}} d \beta+i \delta_{n} \quad(n=1,2,3) \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Separating in (4.3) the real and imaginary parts and using the formulas (2.3), (3.2) and (4.2), we arrive at the conclusion that $\delta_{n}$ are real constants. To compute their values, we must bring in the conditions (2.6). Having found $Q_{n}{ }^{0}(\beta)$ from (4.3), we obtain the functions $\Omega_{k}{ }^{\circ}(\beta)$ from the system of three algebraic equations (4.2), and then, taking into account (3.2), we find the functions (2.1).

Examples. $1^{\circ}$. Let $X_{n}{ }^{ \pm}=Z_{n}{ }^{ \pm}=\varphi_{2} \pm=0$ at the crack edges, and let a homogeneous stress field $\sigma_{3}, \tau_{18}$ exist at infinity. In this case the functions (2.1) have the form ( $\sigma$ denotes the chracteristic stress)

$$
\Phi_{k^{\prime}}\left(z_{k}\right)=A_{k}-c_{k} \sigma\left(i+\frac{z_{k}}{\sqrt{i^{2}-z_{k}^{2}}}\right) \quad(k=1,2,3)
$$

The values of $\left\langle c_{k}\right\rangle=10^{-8} e_{k}, \quad\left\langle\sigma_{r}\right\rangle=\alpha \sigma_{r}\left\langle\sigma_{\theta}\right\rangle=\alpha \sigma_{\theta}, \quad\left\langle\tau_{r \theta}\right\rangle=\alpha \tau_{r \theta}, \quad\left\langle E_{r}\right\rangle=$ $\delta E_{r},\left\langle E_{\theta}\right\rangle=\delta E_{\theta}\left(\alpha=\sqrt{2 r} /(\sigma \sqrt{l}), \delta=\mathrm{g}_{11} \sqrt{2 r} /\left(\sigma d_{s 3} \sqrt{l}\right) \quad \sigma_{r}, \sigma_{\theta}, \tau_{r \theta}, \quad E_{r}\right.$, $E_{\theta}$ representing, respectively, the components of the mechanical stress tensor and the electric field intensity vector, in polar coordinates with the center $c$ situated at the tip of the crack and $r=|z-c|$ are given for the CdSe crystal in the table. The values in the first line of each block of the table correspond to the case $\sigma=\sigma_{3}$ $\neq 0, \tau_{13}=0$ (and we have $\left\langle c_{1}\right\rangle=-455.38 i,\left\langle c_{2}\right\rangle=119.53 i,\left\langle c_{3}\right\rangle=-7.79 i$ ). The values in the second line correspond to the case $\sigma=\tau_{13} \neq 0, \sigma_{3}=0$ (and we have $\left\langle c_{1}\right\rangle=-95.45,\left\langle c_{2}\right\rangle=111.46,\left\langle c_{3}\right\rangle=-15.31$ ).

The above data show that a piezoelastic material with a crack acted upon by pure mechanical external forces, develops a strong electric field which leads, in some cases, to a loss of the "electric strength", i, $\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{\prime}}$, to a breakdown of the dielectric.

The conditions for a breakdown are obtained for the case $\sigma_{3} \neq 0, \tau_{13}=0$ using [2] and the formulas (4.4) and (1.4). Performing the appropriate compatations we obtain

$$
\gamma=\pi l \sigma_{3}^{2} \sum_{k=1}^{3} c_{k} q_{k}
$$

Table 1

| 0 | $0{ }^{\circ}$ | $30^{\circ}$ | $60^{\circ}$ | $90^{\circ}$ | $120^{*}$ | $150{ }^{\circ}$ | $180^{\circ}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\left\langle\sigma_{r}\right\rangle$ | 0.93 | 0.92 | 1.12 | 1.14 | 0.75 | 0.45 | 0 |
|  | 0 | 0.01 | 0.03 | -0.35 | -1.04 | $-1.77$ | -4.82 |
|  | 0 | -0.74 | -0.42 | 0.2 | -0.01 | -0.47 | $-1.43$ |
| $\left\langle\sigma_{\theta}\right\rangle$ | 0.98 | 0.88 | 0.62 | 0.32 | 0.12 | 0.01 | 0 |
|  | 0 | -0.84 | -1.15 | -1.11 | -0.69 | $-0.37$ | $-0.25$ |
|  | 0 | -0.14 | -0.25 | -0.25 | -0.003 | -0.34 | 0 |
| $\left\langle\tau_{r \theta}\right\rangle$ | 0 | 0.26 | 0.39 | 0.33 | 0.19 | 0.06 | 0 |
|  | 0.98 | 0.66 | 0.25 | -0.34 | -0.64 | $-0.47$ | 0 |
|  | 0 | 0.12 | 0.26 | 0.14 | -0.186 | -0.17 | 0 |
| $\left\langle E_{r}\right\rangle$ | 0 | -2.46 | -0.41 | $-0.41$ | 0 | -0.41 | 0 |
|  | 0 | -0.4.1 | -1.23 | -1.64 | -1.64 | -1.23 | 0 |
|  | -1 | -0.97 | -0.88 | -0.74 | -0.53 | -0.29 | 0 |
| $\left\langle E_{8}\right\rangle$ | 0 | $-6.54$ | $-1.23$ | -0.41 | -1.23 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 0 | -2.46 | -2.46 | -1.64 | -0.82 | $-2.05$ | 0 |
|  | 0 | 0.22 | 0.45 | 0.68 | 0.883 | 0.77 | 1.1 |

$2^{\circ}$ Let now $\sigma_{1}=\tau_{13}=\sigma_{3}=0, X_{n}^{ \pm}=Z_{n}^{ \pm}=0, \varphi_{2}^{ \pm}=\varphi_{0} x / l$. In this case the functions (2.1) have the form

$$
\Phi_{k^{\prime}}\left(\tau_{k}\right)=A_{k}-\frac{\varphi_{0} c_{k}^{*}}{l}\left(i+\frac{z_{k}}{\sqrt{l^{2}-z_{k}^{2}}}\right) \quad(k=1,2,3)
$$

The values of the quantities $\left\langle c_{k}^{*}\right\rangle=10^{-4} c_{h},\left\langle\sigma_{r}\right\rangle=\alpha_{0} \sigma_{r}\left\langle\sigma_{\theta}\right\rangle=\alpha_{\theta} \sigma_{\theta},\left\langle\tau_{r \theta}\right\rangle=\alpha_{0} \tau_{z \theta}\left\langle E_{r}\right\rangle$ $=\delta_{0} E_{r}, \quad\left\langle E_{\theta}\right\rangle=\delta_{0} E_{\theta}\left(\alpha_{0}=41 d_{33} \sqrt{r l} /\left(\varphi_{0} e_{11} \sqrt{2}\right), \delta_{0}=\sqrt{r l} /\left(\varphi_{0} \sqrt{2}\right)\right.$ are given for the ceramic PZT -5 in the third line of each block of the Table 1 , and we have $\left.\left\langle c_{1}{ }^{*}\right\rangle=52.95,\left\langle c_{2}{ }^{*}\right\rangle=0.01-1.46 i,\left\langle c_{3}{ }^{*}\right\rangle=0.01+1.46 i\right)$.

The quantities $\left\langle\sigma_{r}\right\rangle, \ldots,\left\langle E_{\mathrm{\theta}}\right\rangle$ appearing in the Tableare, respectively, of
dimension zero, dimension of the stresses $N / \mathrm{m}^{2}$, dimension of the stress vector $N / k$, dimension of $\left\langle c_{k}\right\rangle \mathrm{Nm}^{2} / \mathrm{k}^{2}$ and dimension of $\left\langle c_{k^{*}}^{*}\right\rangle \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{k}$.
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